



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,969	12/14/2001	Richard A. Pittner	0401-UTL-0	7314
28381	7590	06/22/2004	EXAMINER	
ARNOLD & PORTER LLP ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT. 555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			LI, RUIXIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/016,969		PITTNER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ruixiang Li		1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ruixiang Li.

(3) Mi K. Kim.

(2) Gary Kunz.

(4) Richard Pittner.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 33-54.

Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ruixiang Li
Examiner's signature, if required

The Endocrine Society 75th Annual Meeting Program & Abstract, page 180, Abstract 520B, 1993; Yoshinaga et al., Am. J. Physiol. 263:G695-701, 1992; Ueno et al., Gastroenterology, 117:1427-1432, 1999.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The obviousness of the 103 (a) rejections set forth in the previous office action mailed on 03/03/2004 was discussed. Applicants submitted that it would have not been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to use the PYY or a PYY agonist, e.g., PYY3-36, in the method of treating obesity and reducing food intake taught by Malaisse-Lagae et al. because PP and PYY have distinct pharmacologic properties. The Examiner maintained the rejections.